

ASSOCIATION OF GREATER MANCHESTER AUTHORITIES

DECISIONS MADE BY THE AGMA EXECUTIVE BOARD AT ITS MEETING ON 28 MAY 2010 AT TRAFFORD TOWN HALL, TRAFFORD

Decisions published on 2nd June 2010 and will come into force from 10^h June 2010 subject to Call-in, except for any urgent decisions.

The process for call in of decisions is set out as an Appendix to this note, extracted from AGMA's constitution. The address for the purposes of the schedule is that of the AGMA Secretary, c/o Wigan Investment Centre, Waterside Drive, Wigan, WN3 5BA; or by contacting: j.gaskell@agma.gov.uk

The reports detailed in this note can be accessed at the AGMA website via the following link:-

http://www.agma.gov.uk/executive_board/executive_board_meeting_papers/index.html

1 GREATER MANCHESTER DELIVERY PLAN; PROGRESS

The Executive Board considered a report which set out progress on delivery of the Greater Manchester strategy and a basis for future reporting on this issue and AGREED :-

- I. To approve the proposed approach to the development of headline indicators for the Strategy in section 5 of the report, as a basis for consultation with AGMA's constituent Councils, and for this to be subject to Scrutiny, prior to finalisation by the Executive Board
- II. that Officers would provide further updates on progress in delivering the Greater Manchester Strategy to the Executive Board every six months; and that
- III. Officers would provide further information on the statistics from which the Headline Indicators were derived and on what basis they were chosen.

2. MEMBERSHIP OF GREATER MANCHESTER POLICE AUTHORITY 2010-11

The Executive Board AGREED to a report from the Chief Executive of the Police Authority which set out recommendations for how seats on the Police Authority should be allocated between Districts, taking into account political balance within Greater Manchester. Appointments from districts will take effect at the Police Authority Annual General Meeting on 18th June, 2010.

3. AGMA COMMISSIONS. REPRESENTATION FROM MEMBER AUTHORITIES 2011/12

The Executive Board AGREED for nominations to be received from each Local Authority for:-

- I. A representative for The Improvement and Efficiency Commission

- II. Nominations for positions on any of the other 5 Commissions; together with any order of preference for the Commissions where each Authority would wish to see a representative.
- III. Following the receipt of all nominations the Executive Board will seek to agree appointments to these 5 Commissions at AGMA's Annual General Meeting to be held on 25 June.

4. 14 – 19 GROUP MISSION, VISION & VALUES

The Executive Board AGREED to approve the Sub-Regional Groups mission statement, vision, values and ways of working as set out in the report provided.

5. NATIONAL AFFORDABLE HOUSING PROGRAMME

The Executive Board AGREED;-

- I. To the recommendations set out in the report provided subject to pending forthcoming budget announcements.
- II. That should funds be reduced, due to outcome of budget decisions, revised recommendations be submitted to the Executive Board for their consideration

6. CONGESTION PERFORMANCE FUND

The Executive Board AGREED;-

- I. To support a delivery programme for Tranche 3 of the Fund, totalling some £2,508,605
- II. To recommend carrying forward unallocated resources into an additional bidding round later this year, with a suggested submission deadline of 30th July with a view to getting approval at the AGMA Executive Board meeting on 24th September 2010.

7. COMMUNICATION/ENGAGEMENT

The Executive agreed to support the proposals to hold an 'AGMA Summit' on 29 July at Manchester Central and to delegate to the Chair and Vice Chairs consideration of detailed arrangements for the event.

8. COMMISSION REPORT

The Executive Board AGREED to a proposal from the Scrutiny Pool to ensure all districts are aware of the matters being discussed across all Commissions by the lead officer/member relevant to each Commission in each authority being advised when a Commission agenda is published. This would enable all

authorities to have the opportunity to submit comments on any of the reports in advance of Commission meetings.

9. NORTH WEST URBAN FUND INVESTMENT (JESSICA)

The Executive Board AGREED;-

- I. To support the consortium in developing a bid for JESSICA Lot 2 to enable the Stage 2 Business Plan to be submitted on 18 June 2010
- II. The arrangements for signing off the Stage 2 Business Plan
- III. The approach to the Investment Strategy for the fund

EXTRACT FROM SCHEDULE 4 OF NEW AGMA CONSTITUTION

- 5.1 Members of the scrutiny pool appointed under these arrangements will have the power to call in any decision of the Board.
- 5.2 When a decision is made by the Board the decision shall be published, including where possible by electronic means, and shall be available from the address specified in Paragraph 24.1 of this operating agreement normally within 2 days of being made. It shall be the responsibility of the Secretary to send electronic copies of the records of all such decisions to all members of the pool within the same timescale.
- 5.3 That notice will bear the date on which it is published and will specify that the decision will come into force, and may then be implemented, on the expiry of 5 working days after the publication of the decision, unless 5 members the scrutiny pool object to it and call it in.
- 5.4 During that period, the Secretary shall call-in a decision for scrutiny by a joint meeting of pool members if so requested by any five members from the pool, and shall then notify members of the Board of the call-in. The Secretary shall call a joint meeting of pool members on such date as he/she may determine, where possible after consultation with the Chair of the pool, and in any case within 15 working days of the decision to call-in.
- 5.5 If, having considered the decision, the joint meeting of pool members is still concerned about it, then it may refer it back to the Board for reconsideration, setting out in writing the nature of its concerns. If referred to the Board they shall then reconsider within a further 15 working days, amending the decision or not, before adopting a final decision.
- 5.6 The call-in procedure set out above shall not apply where the decision being taken by the Board is urgent. A decision will be urgent if any delay likely to be caused by the call in process would seriously prejudice the Board's or the public's or an individual's interests. The record of the decision, and notice by which it is made public shall state whether in the opinion of the decision making person or body, the decision is an urgent one, and therefore not subject to call-in. The Board must agree both that the decision proposed is reasonable in all the circumstances and to it being treated as a matter of urgency.