

Local Green Space (LGS)- Sites discounted at Preliminary Assessment Stage

Site	MCC Comment	Decision whether to assess for LGS	TEP Comments
Chorlton Urban Forest	No site submitted and none available on the internet. The term Chorlton Urban Forest refers to the collection of trees and woodland across Chorlton. A specific site was requested but no response was received. It would be inappropriate to designate LGS on an area with no specific boundary.	No	Agree an identifiable site should be needed to be assessed as potential LGS. Therefore, the recommendation is to not assess the site.
Land behind the Hollies, West Didsbury (three slightly different areas with overlapping boundaries)	This site is currently Green Belt and therefore, subject to green belt planning restrictions. It is within Flood Zone 3. Part of the site (excluding the Britannia Hotel and associated car park) is identified in the GM Local Nature Recovery Network as a nature recovery opportunity area, where action for nature is considered to have the greatest potential. Both the GM LNRS and the draft Manchester Local Plan also require all proposed development across Manchester to improve biodiversity or mitigate any adverse impacts on biodiversity. No further designation is therefore considered appropriate.	No	As the site is in the Green Belt, it is considered no additional local benefit would be gained by designation as LGS in this case. Therefore, the recommendation is to not assess the site.
Community Veg Garden, Liverpool Road	This site consists mainly of steps down to the canal basin with the community planters used for veg growing being the only greenery. Whilst the consultee request is that the site be protected it would be inappropriate to consider planters as LGS.	No	Agree it would be inappropriate to consider planters as Local Green Space.
Porcelanosa	This is currently hardstanding and a grass verge rather than a community open space. This would not be an appropriate site for LGS. Any redevelopment would require a planning application which would be subject to existing planning policy requirements. The draft Local Plan includes a requirement for tree planting and increased elements of green infrastructure.	No	Agree it would be inappropriate to consider this hardstanding and grass verge as Local Green Space.
Ellesmere Street Planters	This site has now been developed	No	Site no longer available for consideration.
Hulme Hall Planters	Although the category on Castlefield Forum web browser is to preserve. It would be inappropriate to class planters as LGS. The detailed consideration of the use and retention of planters is beyond the scope of the Local Plan.	No	Inappropriate to consider this as Local Green Space.
Blantyre Street Triangle	Request for improvements is an operational matter beyond the scope of the Local Plan.	No	Inappropriate to consider this grass verge along a highway as Local Green Space.
Chester Road Roundabout	Request for improvements is an operational matter beyond the scope of the Local Plan.	No	Inappropriate to consider this grassed area along a highway as Local Green Space.
Water Street	The request for improvements is an operational matter, beyond the scope of the Local Plan.	No	Inappropriate to consider this area along a highway as Local Green Space.
Halfords	The request for improvements is an operational matter, beyond the scope of the Local Plan.	No	Inappropriate to consider this grassed area along a highway as Local Green Space.

Site	MCC Comment	Decision whether to assess for LGS	TEP Comments
St Georges Island	Not currently used as green space and therefore, inappropriate to designate as LGS.	No	Inappropriate to consider this as Local Green Space.
Kara Makeup	The request for improvements is an operational matter, beyond the scope of the Local Plan.	No	Inappropriate to consider this as Local Green Space.
Medlock/New Elm Road	This is an operational matter, beyond the scope of the Local Plan.	No	Correct this is an operational matter but consider if the space could be protected as LGS.
Castlefield Viaduct	The points raised here are also raised within the consultees wider comments on the Local Plan and will be addressed in that context. The site is categorised as Improve and Create in the Castlefield Forum submission. Although the space has local benefit it also has wider than local significance. Assessment as a potential LGS is not therefore considered appropriate.	No	Correct this is an operational matter but consider if the space could be protected as LGS.
United Utilities	Whilst the general principle of greening is supported through the draft Local Plan policies, this site specific request is beyond the scope of the Local Plan. The creation of greenery is not relevant to a consideration of LGS.	No	Inappropriate to consider this as Local Green Space.
Canal Basin	Beyond the scope of the Local Plan and not relevant to a consideration of LGS.	No	Inappropriate to consider this as Local Green Space.
Saul Hay/Chimney	Beyond the scope of the Local Plan and not relevant to a consideration of LGS.	No	Inappropriate to consider this as Local Green Space.
Area 9/Kenworthy	Beyond the scope of the Local Plan and not relevant to a consideration of LGS.	No	Inappropriate to consider this as Local Green Space.
Arundel Street	This site is already the subject of a planning permission and therefore, not appropriate for a LGS assessment. In future subject to the draft Local Plan being adopted, the proposed Trees and Woodlands Policy would require 3 trees to be planted for every 1 removed as part of development proposals within inner wards including Deansgate and Hulme.	No	Inappropriate to consider this as Local Green Space.
Ellesmere Street/Hulme Hall Rd	Beyond the scope of the Local Plan.	N/A	Inappropriate to consider this as Local Green Space.
Upper and Lower Yard at Science and Industry Museum	Comments noted.	N/A	Inappropriate to consider this as Local Green Space.
Street tree planting across all of Castlefield	The proposed Trees and Woodlands Policy requires 3 for 1 trees to be replanted in the inner wards including Deansgate to increase the percentage of tree cover within the central parts of the city where tree cover is lower than average.	N/A	Not a proposed site.