| Technical Note      |  |  |  |  |
|---------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Project Title:      | Assessing the Transport Impacts of the Local Development Framework |  |  |  |
| MVA Project Number: | C37840   |  |  |  |
| Subject:            | Manchester Transport Impacts of LDF                                |  |  |  |
| Note Number:        | 7 Version: 3   |  |  |  |
| Author(s):          | Lorraine Broadhurst  |  |  |  |
| Reviewer(s):        | James Blythe   |  |  |  |
| Date:               | 16 December 2009   |  |  |  |

### 1 Introduction

- 1.1 The purpose of this Note is to summarise the transport impacts in Manchester of the Local Development Frameworks (LDF). This note is one of a series of outputs from a study into the potential transport impacts of the Local Development Frameworks (LDF) in Greater Manchester.
- 1.2 The objective of the study was to investigate the potential impacts on transport networks of the LDF core spatial strategies for each of the districts in Greater Manchester. The approach involved using the land use and transport forecasting models that have been developed for the Greater Manchester area. The models assume levels of economic growth that are consistent with the Association of Greater Manchester Authorities' (AGMA) Accelerated Growth Scenario (AGS), along with development of the sites and allocations contained within the emerging Local Development Frameworks.
- 1.3 The outputs from this study will be used to inform the further development of the LDF strategies by showing how the resulting travel demand changes impose stresses on the transport network. These outputs will consider the impacts both locally and in neighbouring areas, and highlight where investment in the transport network is required to achieve the core strategy or a revision to that strategy.
- 1.4 The potential transport impacts of the LDFs across Greater Manchester are reported in the Final Report, along with a description of the methodology employed in analysing the transport impacts. This note focuses on the transport impacts in Manchester and should be read in conjunction with the Final Report.

### 2 Modelling Approach

- 2.1 The approach to the study has been to undertake land use and transport modelling to explore the relative impacts on land use and key transport metrics of the following:
  - underlying travel demand trends;
  - the land use allocations within the LDFs; and
  - the potential impact of new capital investment in transport via the Transport Fund.

- 2.2 In order to test the impacts of these drivers, artificial test scenarios were created and run through the models. In each of these scenarios, the level of population and employment growth over time was constrained to control totals for the Fully Modelled Area (equating roughly to the City Region area). However, the location of the population and employment growth was determined by the land use model.
- 2.3 The employment and population control total inputs were based upon the Accelerated Growth Scenario from AGMA's economic forecasting model, the Greater Manchester Forecasting Model (GMFM), and Department for Transport's TEMPRO data. The control for the Fully Modelled Area comprised the sum of the growth implied by the AGS forecasts for Greater Manchester County and the TEMPRO forecasts for the rest of the City Region area.
- 2.4 The tests that are reported within this note are outlined below:
  - Do Minimum which assumed the levels of economic and demographic growth contained within the AGS forecasts and basic transport trends (on car ownership etc) but no additional development after 2011 and no changes to the transport network beyond schemes already committed.
  - Greater Manchester Proposals Scenario which added the LDF development proposals and a package of transport interventions that were planned for the Transport Fund to the assumptions for the Do Minimum Scenario.
- 2.5 The transport schemes contained within each of the scenarios are described in detail in Technical Note 1 "Transport Strategy Assumptions".
- 2.6 The reporting of the transport impacts of the LDFs concentrates on comparing the forecasts for 2011 with those for 2026, assuming that all of the LDF developments are built, but not necessarily occupied, and that the Greater Manchester Transport Fund schemes are constructed over this period. It should be noted that the overall level of population and employment growth is constrained to a fixed level over the fully modelled area as described above. The areas where this growth is located is determined by the land use model considering the available development space and the accessibility of those developments.
- 2.7 Some comparison is made with the situation where new developments and the transport schemes have not been constructed, to show the overall impact of the LDFs and the transport schemes on the demand for travel within Greater Manchester. In this case, the growth in population and employment has been catered for in already existing residential and employment buildings.

### 3 Land Use Inputs and Outputs

- 3.1 This section briefly outlines the inputs to the models in terms of the additional housing, office and industrial floorspace provided by the LDF developments, and goes on to summarise the change in population and employment in Manchester for the Greater Manchester Proposals Scenario between 2011 and 2026.
- 3.2 A summary of the LDF planning assumption for Manchester are provided in Table 1, and the resulting change in population and employment is shown in Table 2. These tables show that the housing floorspace inputs suggest an increase of 26% over the periods between 2011 and 2026,

with the population forecast to increase by 17%, but with the number of households forecast to increase by 31%. The LDF suggests that office floorspace provision will increase by 29% whilst industrial floorspace will decrease by 3%. The model forecasts that the impact of this additional floorspace will be an 11% increase in employment. The forecast increases in both population and employment over the period represent significant increases within the district.

|            | 2011       | 2026       | Difference |
|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Housing    | 19,408,010 | 24,546,812 | 26%        |
| Office     | 2,369,202  | 3,058,146  | 29%        |
| Industrial | 2,589,721  | 2,520,248  | -3%        |

### Table 1 Manchester LDF Land Use Inputs

## Table 2 Manchester Population and Employment Forecasts - Greater ManchesterProposals Scenario

|            | 2011    | 2026    | Difference |
|------------|---------|---------|------------|
| Population | 470,196 | 550,672 | 17%        |
| Households | 216,967 | 284,241 | 31%        |
| Jobs       | 318,212 | 353,934 | 11%        |

- 3.3 Figure 1 shows the distribution of population changes across the district between 2011 and 2026. This shows a change in the distribution of population, with some zones seeing increases and others decreases. The majority of the population growth is forecast to occur in and around the Regional Centre, and the northern parts of the district. A number of model zones are forecast to have decreases in the level of population, particularly in the southern part of the district.
- 3.4 The distribution of employment change, shown in figure 2, show large forecast increases in employment in and around the Regional Centre. There are also significant increases in employment forecast in south Manchester around the airport. There are decreases in employment to the east of the Regional Centre, but elsewhere there is little change in employment levels.



Figure 1 Manchester Population Change – Greater Manchester Proposals Scenario



Figure 2 Manchester Employment Change - Greater Manchester Proposals Scenario

### 4 Transport Outputs

4.1 This section reports on the impact of the LDFs and the Transport Scenario on the transport networks over time.

#### **Changes in Trip Making**

- 4.2 Table 3 shows the forecast impact on the demand for travel in the Do Minimum scenario, which reflects the changes in population and employment levels, changes in car ownership, and changes in the cost of travel over time but without considering the location of new developments. Car based trips are forecast to increase by 23% for producers and 19% for attractors with overall trip making increasing by 10% between 2011 and 2026. Despite the overall increase forecast in the level of trip making, public transport, walking cycling trips are forecasts to decrease by around 3 to 4%.
- 4.3 The model forecasts for the Greater Manchester Proposals Scenario are shown in Table 4, which show higher level of trip making across Manchester than in the Do Minimum Scenario, particularly for public transport and walk/cycle trips. Trip making by all modes is forecast to increase over the period 2011 to 2026, which is a result of the increasing levels of population and employment, and the concentration of development in the Regional Centre which is well served by public transport. It should be noted that car trip making is increasing at a faster rate than the other modes, and therefore the car mode share for journeys is still increasing. Public transport journeys are forecast to increase by around 8%, which is a fairly significant increase and will have some impact on crowding levels.

|                  | 2011    | 2,026   | Difference |
|------------------|---------|---------|------------|
| Productions      |         |         |            |
| Car              | 301,120 | 370,073 | 23%        |
| Public Transport | 90,541  | 86,813  | -4%        |
| Walk/Cycle       | 175,938 | 168,170 | -4%        |
| Total            | 567,598 | 625,056 | 10%        |
| Attractions      |         |         |            |
| Car              | 428,186 | 510,592 | 19%        |
| Public Transport | 141,379 | 136,492 | -3%        |
| Walk/Cycle       | 162,107 | 157,520 | -3%        |
| Total            | 731,672 | 804,605 | 10%        |

# Table 3 Change in Manchester Home Based Trip Productions and Attractions – DoMinimum

|                  | 2011    | 2026 P1R | Difference |
|------------------|---------|----------|------------|
| Productions      |         |          |            |
| Car              | 301,120 | 387,018  | 29%        |
| Public Transport | 90,541  | 99,021   | 9%         |
| Walk/Cycle       | 175,938 | 180,775  | 3%         |
| Total            | 567,598 | 666,814  | 17%        |
| Attractions      |         |          |            |
| Car              | 428,186 | 510,768  | 19%        |
| Public Transport | 141,379 | 152,672  | 8%         |
| Walk/Cycle       | 162,107 | 168,683  | 4%         |
| Total            | 731,672 | 832,123  | 14%        |

# Table 4 Change in Manchester Home Based Trip Productions and Attractions –Greater Manchester Proposals Scenario

4.4 The change in the number of trips to, from and with Manchester between 2011 and 2026 are shown in Table 5. Overall trips by car are forecast to increase by 20% and public transport trips by 11%. Increases for both modes are most significant in the morning and evening peaks, reflecting the increase in commuting trips arising from the forecasts increase in jobs, in particular in the Regional Centre.

|                  | 2011      | 2026      | Difference |
|------------------|-----------|-----------|------------|
| Car              |           |           |            |
| Morning Peak     | 327,990   | 395,692   | +21%       |
| Inter-peak       | 518,525   | 617,716   | +19%       |
| Evening Peak     | 404,833   | 478,308   | +18%       |
| Rest of Day      | 193,709   | 235,464   | +22%       |
| TOTAL            | 1,445,057 | 1,727,181 | +20%       |
| Public Transport |           |           |            |
| Morning Peak     | 114,705   | 127,119   | +11%       |
| Inter-peak       | 123,373   | 134,904   | +9%        |
| Evening Peak     | 117,126   | 131,100   | +12%       |
| Rest of Day      | 23,337    | 27,328    | +17%       |
| TOTAL            | 378,541   | 420,450   | +11%       |

## Table 5 Change in Trips to/from/within Manchester – Greater ManchesterProposals Scenario

#### **Impact on the Highway Network**

- 4.5 The forecast morning peak vehicle flows on the network in 2026 are shown in Figure 3, with the changes in flows from 2011 shown in Figure 4. The morning peak flows are particularly significant on the motorways, radial routes into Manchester city centre and along Mancunian Way. The flow increases over the period are most significant along the same routes, particularly with traffic from the north.
- 4.6 Figure 5 shows the links in Manchester where the volume to capacity ratio exceeds 85% in 2011, and Figure 6 presents the same information in 2026. It is clear that from these two figures that a significant number of links and junctions have become closer to capacity by 2026 and this is particularly prevalent around the city centre and on the M60.



### **Figure 3 2026 Morning Peak Traffic Flows in Manchester - Greater Manchester Proposals Scenario**



Figure 4 Change in Morning Peak Traffic Flows in Manchester between 2011 and 2026 - Greater Manchester Proposals Scenario



**Figure 5 Road Links in Manchester with Volume/Capacity Greater than 85% in 2011 - Greater Manchester Proposals Scenario** 



Figure 6 Road Links in Manchester with Volume/Capacity Greater than 85% in 2026 - Greater Manchester Proposals Scenario

#### **Impact on Journey Times**

- 4.7 The forecast changes in Motorway journey times within Manchester are shown in Table 6. In both the morning and evening peak the biggest increases are forecast on the M56 eastbound between junctions 7 and 5, but there are also significant increases on the M60 between junction 19 and 21 in both directions. There is a slight decrease forecast on the M56 eastbound between junctions 3 and 1 in the morning peak.
- 4.8 Table 7 shows the changes in journey times on the key radial routes into the Regional Centre. Traffic into the Regional Centre is forecast to increase by 33% in the morning peak and 28% in the evening peak. However, there are significant increases in journey times on this route in both direction in both time periods, showing that there is a noticeable impact on the journey times in the Greater Manchester Proposals Scenario.
- 4.9 The model forecasts are also suggesting significant impacts on the majority of the other key routes in Manchester, once again in both time periods and both directions. The biggest increase in journey times for the morning peak are forecast to occur on the Inner relief Route CW (east) A56 Gt Ducie St to A665 Pin Mill Brow in the morning peak and the Inner relief Road CW (west) A56 Chester Road to A56 Great Ducie St during the evening peak.
- 4.10 It is clear from these results that the increases in population and employment have significant impacts on the journey times on the vast majority of routes within the district.

# Table 6 Change in Motorway Journey Times in Manchester - Greater ManchesterProposals Scenario

|                    | Morning Peak |       |      | Evening Peak |       |      |  |
|--------------------|--------------|-------|------|--------------|-------|------|--|
|                    | 2011         | 2026  | Diff | 2011         | 2026  | Diff |  |
| M60 Clockwise      |              |       |      |              |       |      |  |
| J4 to J6           | 3:57         | 4:21  | 10%  | 3:39         | 3:59  | 9%   |  |
| J19 to J21         | 4:39         | 5:44  | 24%  | 4:16         | 5:08  | 20%  |  |
| M60 Anti-clockwise | )            |       |      |              |       |      |  |
| J6 to J4           | 3:55         | 4:22  | 12%  | 4:30         | 5:02  | 12%  |  |
| J21 to J19         | 3:45         | 4:27  | 19%  | 4:11         | 4:33  | 9%   |  |
| M56 Eastbound      |              |       |      |              |       |      |  |
| J7 to J5           | 5:24         | 6:57  | 29%  | 5:40         | 7:17  | 29%  |  |
| J5 to J3           | 1:50         | 2:01  | 9%   | 1:59         | 2:22  | 19%  |  |
| J3 to J1           | 13:41        | 13:01 | -5%  | 9:23         | 9:46  | 4%   |  |
| TOTAL              | 20:55        | 21:58 | 5%   | 17:02        | 19:25 | 14%  |  |
| M56 Westbound      |              |       |      |              |       |      |  |
| J1 to J3           | 2:20         | 2:24  | 3%   | 2:21         | 2:25  | 3%   |  |
| J3 to J5           | 2:25         | 2:48  | 16%  | 2:31         | 2:46  | 10%  |  |
| J5 to J7           | 5:06         | 5:48  | 14%  | 5:15         | 6:03  | 15%  |  |
| TOTAL              | 9:51         | 10:59 | 12%  | 10:07        | 11:14 | 11%  |  |

# Table 7 Change in Manchester to Regional Centre Journey Times - GreaterManchester Proposals Scenario (Minutes : Seconds)

|                            | Morning Peak |       |      | Evening Peak |       |      |
|----------------------------|--------------|-------|------|--------------|-------|------|
|                            | 2011         | 2026  | Diff | 2011         | 2026  | Diff |
| Airport to Regional Centre |              |       |      |              |       |      |
| Airport to IRR             | 22:04        | 29:17 | 33%  | 17:26        | 22:19 | 28%  |
| Regional Centre to Airport |              |       |      |              |       |      |
| IRR to Airport             | 19:25        | 23:18 | 20%  | 21:33        | 24:34 | 14%  |

# Table 8 Change in Journey Times on Major Routes in Manchester - GreaterManchester Proposals Scenario

|   | Morning Peak |       |      | Evening Peak |       |      |
|---|--------------|-------|------|--------------|-------|------|
|   | 2011         | 2026  | Diff | 2011         | 2026  | Diff |
| Inner Relief Route CW (west)<br>A56 Chester Rd to A56 Great<br>Ducie St     | 8:48         | 12:00 | 36%  | 10:55        | 17:00 | 56%  |
| Inner Relief Route CW (east)<br>A56 Gt Ducie St to A665 Pin Mill<br>Brow    | 9:10         | 13:53 | 52%  | 9:41         | 13:32 | 40%  |
| Inner Relief Route CW (South)<br>A665 Pin Mill Brow to A56<br>Chester Road  | 4:55         | 7:02  | 43%  | 4:50         | 5:54  | 22%  |
| Inner Relief Road CW full circuit   | 22:53        | 32:55 | 44%  | 25:27        | 36:27 | 43%  |
| Inner Relief Route ACW (South)<br>A56 Chester Road to A665 Pin<br>Mill Brow | 3:55         | 4:54  | 25%  | 4:02         | 4:43  | 17%  |
| Inner Relief Route ACW (east)<br>A665 Pin Mill Brow to A56 Gt<br>Ducie St   | 8:39         | 12:33 | 45%  | 11:51        | 15:54 | 34%  |
| Inner Relief Route ACW (west)<br>A56 Great Ducie St to A56<br>Chester Rd    | 7:02         | 10:21 | 47%  | 6:41         | 9:52  | 48%  |
| Inner Relief Road ACW full<br>circuit                                       | 19:36        | 27:47 | 42%  | 22:34        | 30:30 | 35%  |

| A5103 M56 J3 to Manchester<br>IRR           | 17:33 | 21:16 | 21% | 12:32 | 14:12 | 13% |
|---|-------|-------|-----|-------|-------|-----|
| A5103 Manchester IRR to M56<br>J3           | 14:53 | 17:49 | 20% | 17:10 | 19:06 | 11% |
| A34 M60 J3 to Manchester IRR                | 24:22 | 29:38 | 22% | 16:02 | 17:08 | 7%  |
| A34 Manchester IRR to M60 J3                | 20:54 | 24:42 | 18% | 23:39 | 28:09 | 19% |
| A6010 A576 Leicester Rd to A62<br>Oldham Rd | 9:04  | 12:59 | 43% | 10:07 | 13:43 | 36% |
| A6010 A62 to A576                           | 7:45  | 9:33  | 23% | 9:52  | 18:15 | 85% |
| A6010 A62 Oldham Rd to A6                   | 12:55 | 19:39 | 52% | 12:17 | 15:19 | 25% |
| A6010 A6 to A62                             | 10:58 | 12:36 | 15% | 10:52 | 13:35 | 25% |
| A6010 A6 to A56                             | 28:14 | 35:42 | 26% | 27:44 | 33:28 | 21% |
| A6010 A56 to A6                             | 24:57 | 29:56 | 20% | 25:12 | 29:52 | 19% |
| A576/A665 M60 J19 TO<br>Manchester IRR      | 15:10 | 20:01 | 32% | 13:57 | 13:57 | 0%  |
| A665/A576 Manchester IRR to<br>M60 J19      | 12:32 | 14:57 | 19% | 18:53 | 24:37 | 30% |
| A6 Stockpt Bdy to Manchester<br>IRR         | 16:35 | 20:25 | 23% | 12:56 | 13:00 | 1%  |
| A6 Manchester IRR to Stockpt<br>Bdy         | 13:22 | 14:20 | 7%  | 14:04 | 18:18 | 30% |
| A57 M60 J24 to IRR                          | 18:13 | 25:29 | 40% | 12:52 | 13:01 | 1%  |
| A57 IRR to M60 J24                          | 14:17 | 15:46 | 10% | 26:02 | 35:59 | 38% |
| A635 Tameside Bdy to IRR                    | 13:04 | 21:25 | 64% | 9:17  | 9:32  | 3%  |
| A635 IRR to Tameside Bdy                    | 9:53  | 9:54  | 0%  | 11:39 | 13:56 | 20% |
| A62 Oldham Bdy to IRR                       | 11:32 | 16:20 | 42% | 10:05 | 10:17 | 2%  |
| A62 IRR to Oldham Bdy                       | 10:08 | 10:33 | 4%  | 11:01 | 14:09 | 28% |
| A664 M60 J20 to IRR                         | 18:14 | 24:30 | 34% | 12:08 | 12:48 | 5%  |
| A664 IRR to M60 J20                         | 13:49 | 16:44 | 21% | 22:49 | 29:06 | 28% |

#### **Impact on Public Transport**

4.11 The forecast changes in public transport boardings and alightings in Manchester are shown in Table 9. There are forecast to be increases in public transport patronage in each of the time periods, but there is a clear shift away from bus towards rail and tram. A number of factors will be contributing to this including increases in the value of time, increased congestion on the road network which will impact on bus journey times, and the expansions to the Metrolink network. This switch away from bus is enough that, despite the increases in public transport patronage, bus patronage is actually declining. The increase in rail and tram patronage will have an effect on crowding levels, particularly on routes that are already experiencing overcrowding.

## Table 9 Change in Manchester Public Transport Boardings and Alightings- GreaterManchester Proposals Scenario

|           |        | Boardings |      |        | Alightings |      |
|-----------|--------|-----------|------|--------|------------|------|
|           | 2011   | 2026      | Diff | 2011   | 2026       | Diff |
| Morning F | Peak   |           |      |        |            |      |
| Bus       | 21,820 | 20,287    | -7%  | 26,878 | 25,636     | -5%  |
| Rail      | 3,390  | 3,848     | 14%  | 10,447 | 11,647     | 12%  |
| Tram      | 5,213  | 9,545     | 83%  | 8,823  | 13,415     | 52%  |
| TOTAL     | 30,423 | 33,681    | 11%  | 46,147 | 50,698     | 10%  |
| Inter-pea | k      |           |      |        |            |      |
| Bus       | 13,503 | 12,931    | -4%  | 13,951 | 13,208     | -5%  |
| Rail      | 2,342  | 2,824     | 21%  | 1,871  | 2,172      | 16%  |
| Tram      | 2,945  | 5,269     | 79%  | 3,485  | 5,934      | 70%  |
| TOTAL     | 18,790 | 21,024    | 12%  | 19,307 | 21,313     | 10%  |
| Evening P | eak    |           |      |        |            |      |
| Bus       | 26,319 | 26,929    | 2%   | 21,051 | 20,779     | -1%  |
| Rail      | 7,547  | 8,732     | 16%  | 3,036  | 3,374      | 11%  |
| Tram      | 7,554  | 11,074    | 47%  | 5,551  | 9,215      | 66%  |
| TOTAL     | 41,420 | 46,734    | 13%  | 29,638 | 33,368     | 13%  |

#### **Impact on Environmental Indicators**

4.12 The forecast change in the environmental indicators in Manchester is shown in Table 10. The model forecasts have included the Department for Transports guidance that fuel efficiency will improve over time and those engine standards for emissions will continue to improve. This means that, in spite of increases in traffic levels,  $NO_x$  emissions are forecast to reduce by 18.8% over the period 2011 to 2026. However,  $PM_{10}$  and  $CO_2$  emissions are forecast to continue increasing, the  $CO_2$  increase being by 14%.

# Table 10 Change in Environmental Indicators in Manchester – Greater ManchesterProposals Scenario

|                 | 2011    | 2026    | Difference |
|-----------------|---------|---------|------------|
| NOx             | 1,538   | 1,248   | -19%       |
| PM10            | 181     | 195     | +7%        |
| CO <sub>2</sub> | 153,878 | 179,044 | +16%       |

#### 5 Summary

- 5.1 In Manchester population is forecast to increase by 17% and employment by 11%, with the majority of the growth in both population and employment concentrated on the Regional Centre and the area around it. The only other significant area of employment growth is at the airport, and population in the southern part of the district decreases for a number of zones.
- 5.2 There is forecast to be an increase in the overall level of trip making of 17% for trip productions, and 14% for trip attractions, which is consistent with the changes in population and employment. All of the modes have seen increases in the overall level of trip making, but with car increasing at a higher rate meaning that the car mode share will be increasing over time. The concentration of population and employment in the Regional Centre, and the attractiveness of this area for travel by public transport, means that public transport trips increase despite the changes in car ownership, value of time and the relative costs of travel by the different modes.
- 5.2.1 A significant number of links and junctions are forecast to become closer to capacity over the period 2011 and 2026, particularly in and around the Regional Centre. This impact is also seen in the form of increases in journey times on all of the key routes in the district, with the vast majority of these increases being significant. In particular the concentration of population and employment in the Regional Centre is having a significant impact on journey times to this area.
  - 5.3 Public transport boardings and alightings increase over the period, with these increases occurring in each of the time periods. There is a clear shift away from bus to rail and tram as a result of the congestion on the highway network, increasing values of time and the expansion of the Metrolink network. The increase of rail and tram patronage will have implications for overcrowding during peak periods, particularly on services which are already experiencing overcrowding.

5.4 The model inputs have assumed that fuel efficiency of vehicles is improving through time, and that engine standards for emissions continue to increase. Therefore  $NO_x$  emissions are forecast to reduce by 18.8% between 2011 and 2026 even though traffic levels are increasing.  $PM_{10}$  and  $CO_2$  emission are forecast to increase.