Chorlton, Whalley Range and Fallowfield Neighbourhood Mosaic Profile

Summary

- There are just under 19,000 households in the Chorlton, Whalley Range and Fallowfield Neighbourhood.
- The neighbourhood contains a wide mix of different types of households. The most common types of households are those that are occupied predominantly by younger people ('Career Builders', 'Central Pulse' and 'Student Scene') but the area also contains a substantial number of households occupied by older residents (mostly in their early 60s) owning small inner suburban properties ('Ageing Access').
- Around a third of households in Chorlton contain people whose social circumstances suggest that they may need very low levels of support to help them manage their own health and prevent them becoming high users of acute healthcare services in the future. Conversely, around the same proportion of households in Fallowfield has been estimated to require high or very high levels of support.

Introduction

This profile provides more detailed information about the people who live in different parts of the neighbourhood. It draws heavily on the insights that can be gained from the Mosaic population segmentation tool.

What is Mosaic?

Mosaic is a population segmentation tool that uses a range of data and analytical methods to provide insights into the lifestyles and behaviours of the public in order to help make more informed decisions. Over 850 million pieces of information across 450 different types of data are condensed using the latest analytical techniques to identify 15 summary groups and 66 detailed types that are easy to interpret and understand. Mosaic's consistent segmentation can also provide a 'common currency' across partners within the city.

Mosaic can provide insights into how and why people make decisions about their health and care and how they are likely to respond to services. It allows us to tailor our public services in specific locations in line with the needs and preferences of citizens living in those areas by understanding their profiles. This is critical in delivering high quality public services that match the needs of our citizens and improve value for money.

Mosaic Public Sector website: http://www.experian.co.uk/public-sector/

The most recent Mosaic dataset for Manchester (from December 2015) indicates that there are just under 19,000 households in the Chorlton, Whalley Range and Fallowfield One Team Neighbourhood. This is broadly similar to Manchester City Council's own estimates of number of households in the area. This suggests we can be fairly confident in the data.

Mosaic group	Brief description of group	% of households in the area		rea
		Neighbourhood	Manchester	England
A - Country Living	Well-off owners in rural locations enjoying the	0.00%	0.05%	5.85%

C - City Prosperity (typic: B - Prestige Positions Estable large living (typic: C - City Prosperity High s living	its of country life al age 66-70) lished families in detached homes upmarket lifestyles al age 61-65) status city dwellers in central locations ursuing careers with ewards	0.61%	0.55% 2.15%	6.84%
B - Prestige Positions large living (typic: C - City Prosperity living	lished families in detached homes upmarket lifestyles al age 61-65) status city dwellers in central locations ursuing careers with ewards			
C - City Prosperity	upmarket lifestyles al age 61-65) status city dwellers in central locations ursuing careers with ewards	5.27%	2.15%	
C - City Prosperity High s living	al age 61-65) status city dwellers in central locations ursuing careers with ewards	5.27%	2.15%	
C - City Prosperity High s living	status city dwellers in central locations ursuing careers with ewards	5.27%	2.15%	
living	in central locations ursuing careers with ewards	5.27%	2.15%	
	ursuing careers with ewards			4.77%
	ewards			
	al age 31-35)			
	ng families who are	8.49%	2.34%	8.04%
	oringing up children			
	ollowing careers			
	al age 41-45)	0.000/	4 500/	0.000/
,	e suburban owners	0.39%	1.58%	6.00%
0	settled lives in mid-			
	housing			
	al age 56-60) y people with assets	1.13%	1.66%	8.74%
	re enjoying a	1.13%	1.00%	0.74%
	ortable retirement			
	al age 76-80)			
	eholders living in	0.00%	0.00%	5.46%
	ensive homes in	0.0078	0.0078	5.4078
	e communities			
	al age 46-50)			
	ger households	2.10%	3.22%	9.71%
	g down in housing		0/0	0
	within their means			
	al age 31-35)			
	ents of settled urban	22.17%	9.88%	5.48%
comm	unities with a strong			
sense	of identity			
	al age 56-60)			
	ated young people	42.88%	26.94%	7.66%
	ely renting in urban			
6	bourhoods			
	al age 26-30)		4 500/	4.400/
	e homeowners of	1.41%	4.52%	4.43%
	homes enjoying			
	lifestyles			
	al age 56-60)	4.18%	10.059/	0.000/
-	e people privately g low cost homes for	4.18%	16.35%	6.60%
	ort term			
	al age 18-25)			
	ies with limited	4.74%	13.56%	7.83%
	rces who have to	4.7470	10.0078	7.0078
	et to make ends			
-				
		4.37%	7.93%	6.59%
	al age 76-80)			
	renters of social	2.26%	9.27%	6.01%
	ng facing an array of			
challe				
(typic	al age 56-60)			
N - Vintage Value Older suppo or pra (typic: O - Municipal Challenge Urbar housi	renters of social ng facing an array of	4.37% 2.26%	9.27%	6.59% 6.01%

The data shows that the Chorlton, Whalley Range and Fallowfield neighbourhood is dominated by two household groups (Groups I "Urban Cohesion" and J "Rental Hubs"). Together, these make up around 65% of all households in the neighbourhood. However, the neighbourhood also contains pockets of more affluent types of household as represented by the Mosaic groups C ("City Prosperity") and D ("Domestic Success"). Around 8.5% of households in the neighbourhood are classed as being "Domestic Success" compared with just 2.5% of households across Manchester as a whole.


In order to get the best possible understanding of the different sorts of households in the neighbourhood, it is necessary to go down to a lower level of detail. The table shows the 5 most common types of households in the neighbourhood.

Rank	Mosaic Type	Brief description	% of households
			in locality
1.	J40 Career Builders	Singles and couples in their 20s and 30s	11.50%
		progressing in their field of work from	
		commutable properties	
2.	J41 Central Pulse	Youngsters renting city centre flats in vibrant	10.37%
		locations close to jobs and night life	
3.	I39 Ageing Access	Older residents owning small inner suburban	8.00%
		properties with good access to amenities	
4.	J43 Student Scene	Students living in high density accommodation	6.69%
		close to universities and educational centres	
5.	I36 Cultural Comfort	Thriving families with good incomes in multi-	6.54%
		cultural urban communities	

The data shows the there is a wide mix of different types of households within Chorlton, Whalley Range and Fallowfield neighbourhood and that no one type of household is truly dominant. Only two types of household – J40 Career Builders ("Singles and couples in their 20s and 30s progressing in their field of work from commutable properties") and J41 Central Pulse ("Youngsters renting city centre flats in vibrant locations close to jobs and night life") - represent more than 10% of households in the neighbourhood. Together, these two household types cover around 22% of all households in the locality.

The diverse nature of the neighbourhood is also illustrated by the fact that there are a substantial number of households occupied by older residents (mostly in their early 60s) owning small inner suburban properties living in the midst of what are otherwise households occupied predominantly by younger people. This group ('Ageing Access') represents around 8% of all households in the neighbourhood. A brief summary of all of the Mosaic groups and types is provided in Appendix 1.

The map below shows where within the neighbourhood each type of household is most commonly found. For example, the households types J40 ('Career Builders') and J41 ('Central Pulse') are predominantly clustered in Fallowfield close to the University of Manchester Halls of Residence and in parts of Whalley Range.




Health and lifestyle issues

The table below describes some of the health and lifestyle issues associated with each of the three most common types of household. This has implications for the way that health and social care and health improvement activities are delivered by the Neighbourhood Teams.

Household type	Health issues
Career Builders	In good health overall
	More likely to work to keep in shape and participate in sport.
	Some smoke but more likely to be light smokers
	Typically drink two or three times a week
Central Pulse	In very good health overall
	Above average levels of smoking and fairly regular drinkers
	Highly likely to have done something to maintain or improve their health
	over the past year
	Make the effort to stay in shape and participate in sport
	Not many eat the recommended amounts of fruit and vegetables
Ageing Access	Good levels of health for their age - comparatively few in poor health.
	More active than people on average
	Better than average when it comes to eating healthily
	Smoke less than the norm but those that do are more likely to be heavy
	smokers
	Regular drinkers

Intensity of support from prevention programme

We have analysed the Mosaic data in more detail to look at some of the health related factors that might indicate whether people are likely to need support to help them improve the way they look after their own health. This includes data on lifestyle factors such as alcohol consumption, smoking and exercise, the extent to which people take care of their own medical conditions, how often they visit their GP and the prevalence of self-diagnosed conditions, including insomnia, stress and anxiety.



Percentage of population in each group: Bubble 1 (Municipal Challenge) =6%, Bubble 2 (Family basics, Vintage value, transient renters) =40%, bubble 3(modest reality) =3%, bubble 4 (Suburban stability, rental hubs, aspiring homemakers, urban cohesion, senior security) = 45%, bubble 5(Country living, city prosperity, domestic success

This approach allows us to identify 'target' areas and population groups based on a combination of socio-demographic factors rather than using a conventional medical "risk modelling" approach.

The data in the following table shows the proportion of the population in each ward that fall into those Mosaic population groups that we estimate will require different levels of support from the programme.

Ward name	Total no. of households	Inte	Intensity of support (1=low, 5-very high) % of households			gh)
		1	2	3	4	5
Chorlton	6,293	31.8%	63.3%	0.0%	4.7%	0.3%
Fallowfield	6,196	1.1%	61.1%	4.1%	29.8%	3.9%
Whalley Range	6,504	10.1%	81.2%	0.2%	5.9%	2.7%
Central Manchester	74,561	4.1%	53.7%	2.2%	32.5%	7.5%
Manchester	223,112	10.6%	38.5%	5.3%	36.9%	8.7%

This analysis highlights the big differences between the wards within the Chorlton, Whalley Range and Fallowfield neighbourhood. Based on this methodology, we estimate that around a third of households in Chorlton contain people whose social circumstances suggest that they may need <u>very low</u> levels of support to help them manage their own health and prevent them becoming high users of acute healthcare services in the future. Conversely, around the same proportion of households in Fallowfield has been estimated to require <u>high or very high</u> levels of support.

Appendix A

MOSAIC PUBLIC SECTOR

Mosaic Public Sector one-line descriptions

Α	A01	Rural Vogue	Country-loving families pursuing a rural idyll in comfortable village homes while commuting some distance to work
Country Living	A02	Scattered Homesteads	Older households appreciating rural calm in stand-alone houses within agricultural landscapes
	A03	Wealthy Landowners	Prosperous owners of country houses including the rural upper class, successful farmers and second- home owners
	A04	Village Retirement	Retirees enjoying pleasant village locations with amenities to service their social and practical needs
В	B05	Empty-Nest Adventure	Mature couples in comfortable detached houses who have the means to enjoy their empty-nest status
Prestige Positions	B06	Bank of Mum and Dad	Well-off families in upmarket suburban homes where grown-up children benefit from continued financial support
	B07	Alpha Families	High-achieving families living fast-track lives, advancing careers, finances and their school-age children's development
	B08	Premium Fortunes	Influential families with substantial income established in large, distinctive homes in wealthy enclaves
	B09	Diamond Days	Retired residents in sizeable homes whose finances are secured by significant assets and generous pensions

С	C10	World Class Wealth	Global high flyers and families of privilege living luxurious lifestyles in the most exclusive locations of the largest cities
City Prosperity	C11	Penthouse Chic	City workers renting premium-priced flats in prestige central locations, living life with intensity
	C12	Metro High-Flyers	Ambitious people in their 20s and 30s renting expensive apartments in highly commutable areas of major cities
	C13	Uptown Elite	High status households owning elegant homes in accessible inner suburbs where they enjoy city life in comfort
D	D14	Cafes and Catchments	Affluent families with growing children living in upmarket housing in city environs
Domestic Success	D15	Modern Parents	Busy couples in modern detached homes balancing the demands of school-age children and careers
	D16	Mid-career Convention	Professional families with children in traditional mid-range suburbs where neighbours are often older
	D17	Thriving Independence	Well-qualified older singles with incomes from successful professional careers living in good quality housing
E	E18	Dependable Me	Single mature owners settled in traditional suburban semis working in intermediate occupations
Suburban Stability	E19	Fledgling Free	Pre-retirement couples with respectable incomes enjoying greater space and spare cash since children left home
	E20	Boomerang Boarders	Long-term couples with mid-range incomes whose adult children have returned to the shelter of the family home
	E21	Family Ties	Active families with teenage and adult children whose prolonged support is eating up household resources
F	F22	Legacy Elders	Elders now mostly living alone in comfortable suburban homes on final salary pensions
Senior Security	F23	Solo Retirees	Senior singles whose reduced incomes are satisfactory in their affordable but pleasant owned homes
	F24	Bungalow Haven	Seniors appreciating the calm of bungalow estates designed for the elderly
	F25	Classic Grandparents	Lifelong couples in standard suburban homes enjoying retirement through grandchildren and gardening

G	G26	Far-Flung Outposts	Inter-dependent households living in the most remote communities with long travel times to larger towns
Rural Reality	G27	Outlying Seniors	Pensioners living in inexpensive housing in out of the way locations
	G28	Local Focus	Rural families in affordable village homes who are reliant on the local economy for jobs
	G29	Satellite Settlers	Mature households living in expanding developments around larger villages with good transport links
Н	H30	Affordable Fringe	Settled families with children owning modest, 3-bed semis in areas of more affordable housing
Aspiring Home makers	H31	First Rung Futures	Pre-family newcomers who have brought value homes with space to grow in affordable but pleasant areas
	H32	Flying Solo	Young singles on starter salaries choosing to rent homes in family suburbs
	H33	New Foundations	Occupants of brand new homes who are often younger singles or couples with children
	H34	Contemporary Starts	Young singles and partners setting up home in developments attractive to their peers
	H35	Primary Ambitions	Forward-thinking younger families who sought affordable homes in good suburbs which they may now be out-growing
		Cultural Comfort	Thriving families with good incomes in multi-cultural urban communities
Urban Cohesion	137	Community Elders	Established older households owning city homes in diverse neighbourhoods
		Asian Heritage	Large extended families in neighbourhoods with a strong South Asian tradition
		Ageing Access	Older residents owning small inner suburban properties with good access to amenities

J	J40	Career Builders	Singles and couples in their 20s and 30s progressing in their field of work from commutable properties
Rental Hubs	J41	Central Pulse	Youngsters renting city centre flats in vibrant locations close to jobs and night life
	J42	Learners & Earners	Inhabitants of the university fringe where students and older residents mix in cosmopolitan locations
	J43	Student Scene	Students living in high density accommodation close to universities and educational centres
	J44	Flexible Workforce	Young renters ready to move to follow worthwhile incomes from service sector jobs
	J45	Bus-Route Renters	Singles renting affordable private flats away from central amenities and often on main roads
K	K46	Self Supporters	Hard-working mature singles who own budget terraces manageable within their modest wage
Modest Traditions	K47	Offspring Overspill	Lower income owners whose adult children are still striving to gain independence meaning space is limited
	K48	Down-to- Earth Owners	Ageing couples who have owned their inexpensive home for many years while working in routine jobs
L	L49	Disconnected Youth	Young people endeavouring to gain employment footholds while renting cheap flats and terraces
Transient Renters	L50	Renting a Room	Transient renters of low cost accommodation often within subdivided older properties
	L51	Make Do & Move On	Yet to settle younger singles and couples making interim homes in low cost properties
	L52	Midlife Stopgap	Maturing singles in employment who are renting short-term affordable homes

Μ	M53	Budget Generations	Families supporting both adult and younger children where expenditure can often exceed income
Family Basics	M54	Childcare Squeeze	Younger families with children who own a budget home and are striving to cover all expenses
	M55	Families with Needs	Families with many children living in areas of high deprivation and who need support
	M56	Solid Economy	Stable families with children renting better quality homes from social landlords
Ν	N57	Seasoned Survivors	Deep-rooted single elderly owners of low value properties whose modest home equity provides some security
Vintage Value	N58	Aided Elderly	Supported elders in specialised accommodation including retirement homes and complexes of small homes
	N59	Pocket Pensions	Elderly singles of limited means renting in developments of compact social homes
	N60	Dependent Greys	Ageing social renters with high levels of need in centrally located developments of small units
	N61	Estate Veterans	Longstanding elderly renters of social homes who have seen neighbours change to a mix of owners and renters
0	O 62	Low Income Workers	Older social renters settled in low value homes in communities where employment is harder to find
Municipal Challenge	O 63	Streetwise Singles	Hard-pressed singles in low cost social flats searching for opportunities
	O64	High Rise Residents	Renters of social flats in high rise blocks where levels of need are significant
	O 65	Crowded Kaleidoscope	Multi-cultural households with children renting social flats in over-crowded conditions
	O 66	Inner City Stalwarts	Long-term renters of inner city social flats who have witnessed many changes