





TOTAL FIRE SERVICES LTD

Fire Risk Assessment (Review) Conducted at:

Gunson Court Gunson Street Miles Platting Manchester M40 7NT



Scheme UPRN: GUNSOCMB 22 September 2016









TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF BUSINESS

Gunson Court, Gunson Street, Miles Platting, Manchester, M40 7NT

Fire assessment and evaluation of risk is a dynamic and evolving process. The Assessment that we have prepared is based on the appearance of the premises/building, number of employees, internal layout and information provided on **Thursday, 22 September 2016**

If there is a change in the structure of the premises/building, number of employees, layout or any other aspect that could impact upon fire safety we should be notified to ensure that no revision to the Assessment is required.

The Employer under the Management of Health and Safety at Work Regulations 1999 and the Responsible Person under the Regulatory Reform (fire safety) Order 2005 are to ensure that a review is conducted under the above circumstances. A fire risk assessment is not a health and safety assessment; this should be conducted separately.

We have assessed the risk of fire to ensure legislative compliance and safety of relevant persons and have provided you with our Assessment. Ownership and implementation of the assessment is vital. We accept no responsibility for loss, damage or other liability arising from a fire, loss or injury due to the failure to observe the safety observance and practises identified in our Assessment. The RESPONSIBLE PERSON will always remain responsible for the outcome of the Fire Risk Assessment or its review.

We highlight that we recommend a twelve monthly fire risk assessment review regardless of any changes in the structure, nature of business and employees. The Assessment should also be reviewed under the following circumstances:

- Significant changes in members or levels of staff
- Material alteration to the premise or change in use
- Following a fire, fire alarm actuation or "near miss" incident a full review is recommended
- After any change in working practices

The internal layout, manufacturing processes and nature of the client's business will remain confidential and will not be disclosed to third parties without the express permission of the client.

Total Fire Services Ltd limits its liability for any loss, damage or injury (or any consequential or indirect loss) arising from the performance of or failure by this Company to perform any of its duties (whether or not such loss damage or injury or consequential or indirect loss be due to the negligence of this Company, its servants or agents or to any other cause whatsoever) to that determined by our Professional Indemnity Insurance Policy.

CLIENT ACCEPTANCE I hereby accept this Fire Risk Assessment in accordance with the terms and conditions above NAME (PLEASE PRINT) SIGNATURE

POSITION IN ORGANISATION



Contents

| TERMS | S AND CONDITIONS OF BUSINESS |
|---------|--|
| Part 2: | References and Methodology Index4 |
| Part 2: | References and Methodology Index continued5 |
| 1.0 | Fire Risk Assessment Details7 |
| 2.0 | General Premises Details9 |
| 3.0 | Overall Risk Rating9 |
| AUDIT | : IDENTIFYING THE FIRE HAZARDS |
| 4.0 | Dangerous, Flammable, Combustible Materials & Substances10 |
| 5.0 | Interior Furnishings |
| 6.0 | Heating and Electrical Appliances10 |
| PERSO | NS AT RISK |
| 7.0 | Persons at Risk Audit11 |
| EVALU | ATION OF THE RISKS – ELIMINATE, REDUCE OR CONTROL |
| 8.0 | Escape |
| 9.0 | The Confinement of Fire |
| FIRE S | AFETY PROVISIONS |
| 10.0 | Fire Alarm System14 |
| 11.0 | Emergency Escape Lighting15 |
| 12.0 | Fire Fighting Equipment and Systems15 |
| 13.0 | Fire Safety Signs and Notices16 |
| 14.0 | General Fire Safety Procedures16 |
| 15.0 | Fire Safety Management17 |
| 16.0 | Fire Emergency Plan |
| 17.0 | Risk Analysis, Priority Ratings and Fire Risk Ratings22 |
| 18.0 | Summary of Significant Findings25 |
| 19.0 | Recommendations |

TFS Version-2.07

Part 2: References and Methodology Index

- A Extracts from RRO (FS) 2005 Articles Part 2 Fire Safety Duties:
 - Article 8 Duty to take general fire precautions
 - Article 9 Risk assessment
 - Article 10 Principles of prevention to be applied
 - Article 11 Fire safety arrangements
 - Article 12 Elimination or reduction of risks from dangerous substances
 - Article 13 Fire-fighting and fire detection
 - Article 14 Emergency routes and exits
 - Article 15 Procedures for serious and imminent danger and for danger areas
 - Article 16 Additional emergency measures in respect of dangerous substances
 - Article 17 Maintenance
 - Article 18 Safety assistance
 - Article 19 Provision of information to employees
 - Article 20 Provision of information to employers and the self-employed from outside undertakings
 - Article 21 Training
 - Article 22 Co-operation and co-ordination
 - Article 23 General duties of employees at work
 - Article 37 Fire-fighters' switches for luminous tube signs etc.
 - Article 38 Maintenance of measures provided for protection of fire fighters



Part 2: References and Methodology Index continued

- B The Fire Safety (Employees Capabilities) (England) Regulations 2010
- C Fire Safety Management
- D Information on Fire Alarm Systems
- E Information on Fire Fighting Equipment and Training
- F Information on Emergency Lighting
- G Information on Fire Safety Signs and Notices
- H Frequency Checks, Fire Safety Maintenance Log
- I Working with contractors
- J The Electricity at Work regulations 1989
- K Personal Emergency Evacuation Plan Examples
- L FRA Review Information
- M Review Checklist



The following fire risk assessment has been conducted on behalf of:

Adactus Housing Group

and relates only to the premises of:

Gunson Court, Gunson Street, Miles Platting, Manchester, M40 7NT

All rights reserved. No part of this document may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means (electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise) without the permission of the copyright owner.

1.0 Fire Risk Assessment Details

Responsible person(s):

Adactus Housing Group.

Person(s) consulted and landline contact number:

, Site Supervisor for Naylor Court

Fire Risk Assessor:

Mr Gary Hutchinson, BEng(Hons) Fire Engineering, MIFireE Nationally Accredited Fire Risk Assessor (NAFRA 0140).

Audited by:

Mr D J Baird DMS, MIFireE, MIFSM, Nationally Accredited Fire Risk Assessor (NAFRA 0096)

Date of Initial or Previous Full Fire Risk Assessment:

Thursday, 17 September 2015

Recommended date for next Fire Risk Assessment Review:

September 2017

Fire Risk Assessment Review Limitations:

A Type 1 common parts only (Non-Destructive) Fire Risk Assessment Review (as detailed in the latest guidance document Fire Safety in Purpose Built Blocks of Flats) has been completed with no access available to any flats. The lift motor room was accessed. Access to the block and site offices was provided by the site supervisor from an adjacent block however the bin store and no service risers were accessed or records observed. It was confirmed records are similar across the blocks and audited on a regular basis. Two adjacent high rise blocks were reviewed at the same time, records observed and a sample of areas across the three blocks were observed to have been fire stopped following previously identified significant findings. The site supervisor for Naylor Court confirmed work had been carried out to remove previously unacceptable fire stopping material which has been replaced with fire resisting slabs and mastic sealant which was observed in the areas sampled. Areas of remedial work were identified by a contractor's label with identification number.

All services or penetrations traversing fire resisting compartments were not confirmed as being sufficiently fire stopped with fire resisting material. Any locations that have been identified are highlighted in section 9. Where fire compartments/fire dampers/ceiling voids were considered inaccessible for safety reasons and could not be physically accessed or were outside the visual range of the assessor, technical comment on these areas cannot be provided. If there are reasons to suspect the fire resistance within the building has not been sufficiently maintained the responsibility to provide this technical information rests with the duty holder. Total Fire Services Ltd can provide information on the most cost effective remedial measures where breaches in fire resistance are identified.

There were no outstanding notices of deficiencies/enforcement action from the enforcing authority and the fire strategy document and "as built" plans issued on completion of the building/alterations were not observed.



<u>Note</u>

The following assessment has been conducted to assist the responsible person in compliance with the Regulatory Reform (Fire Safety) Order 2005. Although reference is made to relevant British Standards, Codes of Practice and Guides the assessment will not, nor is it intended to, ensure compliance with any of the documents referred to in the assessment. However, deviations from generally accepted codes, standards and universally recognised good fire safety practice will be clearly identified in the fire risk assessment.

This review document is part of the continuous management of fire safety within these premises and as such should be read in conjunction with the full fire risk assessment dated **17 September 2015**

The audit sections give a very brief description of items to be considered for the benefit of the client so that they can see that Articles 8 to 22 of The Regulatory Reform (Fire Safety) Order are being assessed.

The consultant will be assessing the premises in conjunction with the full set of audit questions set out in the initial fire risk assessment document, in accordance with PAS 79 and their knowledge and experience of the type of premises to which this review document relates.

2.0 General Premises Details

- 2.1 Number of floors: Thirteen, ground and twelve upper floors
- **2.2** Approximate building footprint: 384m²
- **2.3 Details of alterations to premises since previous Fire Risk Assessment:** Significant fire stopping has taken place.
- 2.4 Existing Occupancy/Purpose Groups The premises are classed as Purpose Group 1a Residential (Flat) as defined by Building Regulations Approved Document B 2006 Table D1.
- 2.5 New legislation which has been introduced since the introduction of The Regulatory Reform (Fire Safety) Order 2005 which now applies to either the premises or the management of the premises: None.

3.0 Overall Risk Rating

Based on the findings within the fire risk assessment the overall risk ratings have been quantified as:

Risk to Life: Tolerable.

There are three significant findings regarding confirmation of fire stopping and the measures to reduce the risk of smoke spread however, the overall risk to life is considered tolerable.

The risk rating has been determined after considering the fire risk rating matrix in section 17.0. In these premises it is considered that the risk of a fire occurring is unlikely and the likely consequences of harm from fire (should one occur) are slight.

Risk to Property: Tolerable.

Due to the compartmentation inbuilt into the premises it is likely that any fire should be contained within the flat of origin until the arrival of the Fire and Rescue Service, however there are recommendations regarding the confirmation of fire stopping. The overall risk to property is therefore considered to be tolerable.

Note: The BAFE SP205-1 fire risk assessment certification relates to life safety only and not property or business continuity protection. The client should undertake further detailed assessment of risk for these areas if it considers necessary.

FIRE SAFETY CONSULTANTS

| | AUDIT: IDENTIFYING THE FIRE HAZARDS | |
|-----|---|---------------------------|
| 4.0 | Dangerous, Flammable, Combustible Materials & S | Substances |
| | ere been any changes to the above i.e. increases/decreases, higher risk ces or the removal of substances. | NO |
| | SIGNIFICANT FINDING(S) | |
| Ref | HAZARDS | |
| | None. | |
| | RECOMMENDATIONS | |
| | None. | |
| Ref | COMMENTARY | |
| 4.0 | Questions 4.1 to 4.10 relate to substances and materials which are subject "Dangerous Substances and Explosive Atmosphere Regulations 2002" (DS substances or materials falling into the above regulations are stored or us premises. Any cleaning materials stored in the caretaker facilities have CC in the onsite folder. | EAR). No ed inside the |

5.0 Interior Furnishings

| Have t provisi | here been any alterations to the previous furniture and furnishing ons? | NO |
|-------------------|--|----|
| | SIGNIFICANT FINDING(S) | |
| Ref | HAZARDS | |
| | None. | |
| | RECOMMENDATIONS | |
| | None. | |
| Ref | COMMENTARY | |
| 5.4 | Furniture in the caretaker facilities area is in reasonable condition and to the recommendations. There is no other upholstered furniture in the second seco | •• |

| 6.0 | Heating and Electrical Appliances |
|----------|---|
| | requirements for testing and maintenance of electrical equipment, YES |
| distribu | tion and other plant and equipment being complied with? |
| | SIGNIFICANT FINDING(S) |
| Ref | HAZARDS |
| | None. |
| | RECOMMENDATIONS |
| | None. |
| Ref | COMMENTARY |
| 6.6 | Electrical testing is carried out 5 yearly in communal areas and every 10 years in tenanted properties. It is also carried out when a property is void, undergoes building works or following a fire. |
| 6.21 | Lightning protection systems are serviced annually by Osborne Delta. |

FIRE SAFETY CONSULTANTS

PERSONS AT RISK

7.0 Persons at Risk Audit

Has the occupancy of the premises changed in relation to the following criteria:

Sleeping occupants

| | Devery formilian with the provise | No |
|-------|--|---|
| | Persons familiar with the premises | No |
| | Persons unfamiliar with the premises | No |
| Оссир | oants with disabilities | |
| | Mobility-impaired | No |
| | Hearing-impaired | No |
| | Learning difficulties | No |
| | Occupants in remote areas | No |
| | Others | No |
| | SIGNIFICANT FINDING(S) | 1 |
| Ref | HAZARDS | |
| | None. | |
| | RECOMMENDATIONS | |
| | None. | |
| Ref | COMMENTARY | |
| 7.1 | The building is general needs flats and individual PEEPs, evacuation of procedures are not required. | drills and staff |
| 7.3 | Residents may be present with any combination of the above disabil premises. Individual PEEPs are not considered appropriate for genera known if new tenants who occupy the flats have any disabilities but a their ability to react to a fire within the premises should be undertak residence. | al needs flats. It is not an assessment toward |

FIRE SAFETY CONSULTANTS

| EVAL | UATION OF THE RISKS – ELIMINATE, REDUCE OR CON | NTROL |
|------------------|---|---------------|
| 8.0 | Escape | |
| Have th corridor | ere been any changes to the means of escape i.e. new layouts, storage in setc.? | NO |
| Electror | nic Door Release Devices | |
| Have th | ere been any changes to the door furniture on escape routes etc.? | NO |
| | SIGNIFICANT FINDING(S) | |
| Ref | HAZARDS | |
| | None. | |
| | RECOMMENDATIONS | |
| | None. | |
| Ref | COMMENTARY | |
| 8.6 | The communal areas were maintained clear of any combustible items and ke | ept to a high |
| | standard of cleanliness which reflects a good standard of housekeeping. | |
| 8.11 | Site caretakers have a comprehensive daily and weekly check which they une | dertake and |
| | document in the on-site fire log. | |

1

FIRE SAFETY CONSULTANTS

| 9.0 | The Confinement of Fire | |
|-----------------------------|---|--|
| | fire separation, surface spread of flame on open escape routes still priate to the use of the premises? | NOT KNOWN |
| Automatic Hold Open Devices | | |
| Have a system | ny new devices been fitted or the previous type been replaced by another n etc.? | NO |
| , | SIGNIFICANT FINDING(S) 01 | |
| Ref | HAZARDS | |
| 9.5 | Previously identified metal conduit and trunking containing electrical cabinesisting construction between the flats and common areas and between lobbies and stair lobbies. The conduit is mechanically sealed and confirmate traverses fire compartmentation could not be observed if suitably fire stores observed directly penetrating compartmentation have been suitably fire stores previously identified. A fire spreading smoke into the conduit may spread numerous fire compartments which may cause harm to relevant persons. Lift Motor Room. It was previously identified in the lift motor room, there were breaches of the following areas: Cables behind lift motors entering the cavity wall. Bricks missing in cavity wall behind lift motor. | the landing ation that where it pped. Cables stopped as unchecked across f compartment in |
| 9.8 | These could allow smoke travel into the fabric of the building should a fire | |
| 5.0 | The staircase has a ventilation grille at each level which terminates within refuse chute lobby. The self-closing chute hatches are fitted with smoke s number of hatches were found not to be fully closed. A fire within the bir chute may allow smoke to spread into the refuse chute lobby and into the ventilation ductwork. Article 8 of the Regulatory Reform (Fire Safety) Order 2005 applies to the and requires the responsible person to take measures to reduce the risk of fire and ensure that the means of escape can be used at all material times Appendix A. | eals however a room/refuse staircase via the above findings of the spread of |
| Ref | Recommended Actions | |
| 9.5 | Confirm where cable trunking in common areas breaches compartment flwere suitably fire stopped with material of 30 minutes fire resistance dur stopping works. Seal any breaches of compartmentation behind lift motor controls with minutes fire resistance. | ing the recent fire |
| 9.8 | A survey of the refuse chute hatches should be carried out and any not clube repaired/adjusted. The regular checks carried out by the site supervise the effective operation of the self-closing chute hatches and the condition seals to ensure that an effective seal is maintained when closed. Any defending fully forming a seal should be reported for repair. | or should include n of the smoke |
| | RECOMMENDATIONS 01 | |
| 9.8 | ObservationPreviously identified and not confirmed during this review, the layout of tventilation ducts which terminate on the roof was not confirmed to be sesite supervisor's area. The site supervisor in adjacent Naylor Court confirmfrom each flat has been sealed over with plasterboard. | aled within the |

FIRE SAFETY CONSULTANTS

| Ref | Recommended Actions confinement of fire cont'd |
|-----|--|
| 9.8 | Confirm, if not of the shunt duct type, the extract ductwork within the site supervisor's area |
| | is sealed. |
| Ref | COMMENTARY |
| 9.1 | Of the flats accessed by the consultant in identical adjacent blocks and confirmed by the site supervisor and a contractor refitting internal flat entrance doors, the layout consisted of a small entrance hall with either one bedroom or two bedrooms, bathroom and store cupboard. The hall is not 30 minutes fire protected other than the wall and door leading to the living room. The kitchen is contained off the living room. Flat entrance doors were of the same appearance and construction throughout as adjacent blocks and confirmed to be self-closing FD30s fire doors. Any doors found to be defective on the periodic check or reported defective by a resident are subject to a 3-hour callout and repair procedure. |
| 9.2 | Previously identified the self-closing device had been removed from Flat 3 entrance door. This was not confirmed to have been replaced however, it is AHG's policy for the caretakers to inspect flat entrance doors to establish if a self-closer has been removed, damaged or none fitted at all and take remedial actions. Where access cannot be gained during these visits a letter addressing the issue and importance of ensuring the flat door has a self-closer fitted will be posted to the residents. |
| 9.5 | AHG have confirmed that any breaches in the fire resistance of walls, floors and doors have been fire stopped where this has been identified by previous fire risk assessments. All planned works are post inspected by an inspector to ensure breaches are fire stopped. The panels above doors and risers are confirmed fire resisting and have been identified as being of Asbestos construction. Previously identified areas of inadequate fire stopping including electrical cables, the gas service ducts and lift motor room (except significant finding above) have been confirmed to have been fire stopped with appropriate materials by a competent company. |

FIRE SAFETY PROVISIONS

10.0 Fire Alarm System

| Is the expremise | kisting fire alarm appropriate for the current risks and use of the es? | YES | |
|------------------|---|--------------------|--|
| | SIGNIFICANT FINDING(S) | | |
| Ref | HAZARDS | | |
| | None. | | |
| | RECOMMENDATIONS | | |
| | None. | | |
| Ref | COMMENTARY | | |
| 10.2 | Previous recommendation regarding the conflicts between the fire evacu communal fire alarm system remain current. | ation strategy and | |
| 10.11 | As previously recommended a zone plan has been provided. | | |
| 10.12 | Servicing is currently carried out by Fire Equipment Services and recorded | d on QLx. | |
| 10.13 | Different call points are tested weekly and recorded. | | |

FIRE SAFETY CONSULTANTS

| 11.0 | Emergency Escape Lighting | |
|---------|--|---------------------|
| Has all | emergency lighting been maintained in good order and still appropriate | YES |
| to the | use of the premises? | |
| | SIGNIFICANT FINDING(S) | |
| Ref | HAZARDS | |
| | None. | |
| | RECOMMENDATIONS | |
| | None. | |
| Ref | COMMENTARY | |
| 11.5 | Emergency lighting systems are serviced 6 monthly. Within general needs premises, the system is tested monthly and record working on Office 365. | ded via mobile |
| 11.6 | The repair and maintenance of emergency lighting systems is currently of Equipment Services and recorded on QLx. | carried out by Fire |

| 12.0 | Fire Fighting Equipment and Systems | |
|--------|---|-----|
| | efighting equipment still appropriate for the occupancy, processes, new | YES |
| equipm | nent or building extensions? | |
| | SIGNIFICANT FINDING(S) | |
| Ref | HAZARDS | |
| | None. | |
| | RECOMMENDATIONS | |
| | None. | |
| Ref | COMMENTARY | |
| 12.5 | FFE is serviced annually by Fire Equipment Services and recorded on QLx service was carried out in April 2016. Monthly checks are carried out and are regularly audited by AHG. | |

FIRE SAFETY CONSULTANTS

| Is the | signage throughout the premises still appropriate and in good repair? | YES |
|--------|--|--------------------|
| | SIGNIFICANT FINDING(S) | |
| Ref | HAZARDS | |
| | None. | |
| | RECOMMENDATIONS 02 | |
| | Observation | |
| 13.0 | Previously identified it was observed that floor numbers were indicated lobby locations but there were no low level signs indicating flat numbe lobby See commentary. | |
| Ref | Recommended Actions | |
| 13.0 | Ensure that additional photo luminescent signage indicating flat number exits in high rise premises are placed at a low level to increase visibility in lift lobby. | |
| Ref | COMMENTARY | |
| 13.0 | Following a rule 43 from the coroners regarding a severe fire in a high r (Shirley Towers) it was recommended (24/4/2013) that there should be | |
| | a) Provide signage to indicate floor levels both in stairwells and lift lob premises, to assist the emergency services; | bies in high rise |
| | b) Ensure that signage indicating flat numbers and emergency exits in are placed at a low level to increase visibility in smoke conditions. | high rise premises |
| | It is recommended that this good practice advice be undertaken. | |
| 13.12 | No-smoking signs are displayed in the premises. | |

| 14.0 General Fire Safety Procedures | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--|---------------|--|--|--|--|
| Do the | fire safety procedures continue to meet the needs of the occupancy and | YES | | | | |
| the pre | emises? | | | | | |
| | SIGNIFICANT FINDING(S) | | | | | |
| Ref | HAZARDS | | | | | |
| | None. | | | | | |
| | RECOMMENDATIONS | | | | | |
| | None. | | | | | |
| Ref | COMMENTARY | | | | | |
| 14.7 | Overall responsibility for fire is Chief Executive. See com | mentary 15.1. | | | | |

ſ

FIRE SAFETY CONSULTANTS

| 15.0 Fire Safety Management | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|---|-------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | ny changes taken place within the management structure that has or may on fire safety systems, best practice or maintenance? | NO | | | | |
| | Fire Marshals & Fire Plans | | | | | |
| Have th | ere been any changes to the provisions in relation to fire marshals? | NO | | | | |
| | SIGNIFICANT FINDING(S) | | | | | |
| Ref | HAZARDS | | | | | |
| | None. | | | | | |
| | RECOMMENDATIONS | | | | | |
| | None. | | | | | |
| Ref | COMMENTARY | | | | | |
| 15.1 | The site supervisor is the nominated person on-site responsible for ensuring the fire precautions are implemented and managed correctly on behalf of | | | | | |
| 15.2 | Adactus Housing Chief Executive who has the overall responsibility. All AHG staff are trained via classroom based learning and team briefs. Fire marshals attend training that includes the use of fire extinguishers. | | | | | |
| 15.3 | AHG line managers are required to complete a health and safety checklist with new employees on the date of employment, which includes information on fire procedures. In addition, AHG aim to ensure that all staff attend corporate induction training within 6 weeks of employment, which includes more detailed information on fire procedures. | | | | | |
| 15.4 | Records of attendance at training are maintained by the Health and Safety Team. | | | | | |
| 15.6 | A permit to work is required for all high risk activities such as hot works. Also it enables AHG to monitor the type of work contactors are undertaking within the block to avoid any breaches in fire resistance being left unfilled. | | | | | |
| 15.8 | In all premises where staff are located, fire marshals are required to liaise with the fire service and attend appropriate training. | | | | | |
| 15.13 15.15 | The reporting of serious incidents, on call and escalations procedure deta taken in the event of any serious fires. | ils actions to be | | | | |

| 16.0 Fire Emergency Plan | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Is the fire emergency plan still suited to the layout and use of the premises and YES | | | | | | |
| all relev | vant persons who have access to the premises? | | | | | | |
| | SIGNIFICANT FINDING(S) | | | | | | |
| Ref | HAZARDS | | | | | | |
| | None. | | | | | | |
| | RECOMMENDATIONS | | | | | | |
| | None. | | | | | | |
| Ref | COMMENTARY | | | | | | |
| 16.1 | COMMENTARYThe fire resisting construction of the flats means an outbreak of fire would be contained within the flat of origin. Other residents are in a reasonably safe place within their own flat while a fire in an adjacent flat is dealt with. Therefore, a stay put policy is suitable for the premises.The premises have a fire alarm system covering all common areas and any activation initiates a full alert from all floors. There have been no serious breaches of compartmentation identified by the consultant which would necessitate a fire alarm being installed as a compensatory feature. Current guidance for purpose built blocks of flats also recognises that even if a premises has a common fire alarm installed, it is not necessary to introduce a full evacuation, a stay put policy is still appropriate. If the fire alarm remains in the common areas, clear advice must be given to residents that a stay put policy is appropriate. An example plan is provided below. | | | | | | |

FIRE SAFETY CONSULTANTS

Fire Emergency Plan: General (Staff Actions)

On confirming that a fire exists raise the alarm, by activating the nearest Manual Fire Alarm Call Point

Ensure the fire service is summoned by dialling 999 stating Fire at:

Gunson Court Gunson Street Miles Platting Manchester M40 7NT

All Staff/Residents should move quickly and calmly to the nearest exit.

Only fight the fire if it is small (no more that the size of a waste paper bin) AND if trained and it is safe to do so, with the appropriate fire extinguisher. If the fire is larger than a waste paper bin, close the door to the fire.

Staff/Residents must not place themselves at risk.

Close all doors behind you to contain the fire and prevent the spread of smoke and toxic fumes.

Proceed to your designated assembly point or well clear of the building and away from any approach road likely to be used by emergency vehicles.

Ensure a roll call of all members of your department is taken to establish if all persons are accounted for.

Liaise with the fire service officer on arrival, giving details of number of persons unaccounted for, the location and extent of the fire.

Do not re-enter the building until authorised to do so by a Fire Service Officer.



Fire Emergency Plan FLATS STAY PUT POLICY

GENERAL ADVICE TO RESIDENTS

This building has been built in such a way as to protect the people in it if a fire breaks out.

The important thing to remember is that if the fire starts in your home, it is up to you to make sure that you can get out of it.

AT ALL TIMES

- Make sure that the smoke alarms in your flat are tested.
- Do not store anything in your hall or corridor, especially anything that will burn easily.
- Use the fixed heating system fitted in your home. If this is not possible, only use a convector heater in your hall or corridor. Do not use any form of radiant heater there, especially one with either a flame (gas or paraffin) or a radiant element (electric bar fire).

IF A FIRE BREAKS OUT IN YOUR FLAT

If you are in the room where the fire is, leave straight away, together with anybody else, then close the door.

- Do not stay behind to try to put the fire out, unless you have received suitable training.
- Tell everybody else in your flat about the fire and get everybody to leave.
- Close the front door and leave the building.
- CALL THE FIRE SERVICE.

IF YOU SEE OR HEAR OF A FIRE IN ANOTHER PART OF THE BUILDING

- It will usually be safe for you to stay in your own home.
- You must leave your home if smoke or heat affects it OR you are instructed to do so by the Fire Service. Close all doors and windows.

CALLING THE FIRE SERVICE

The Fire Service should always be called to a fire, even if it only seems to be a small fire. This should be done straight away.

The way to call the fire service is by telephone as follows.

1) Dial 999.

2) When the operator answers give the telephone number you are ringing from and ask for the FIRE service.

When you are put through to the fire service, tell them clearly where the fire is:

Flat xxx Gunson Court Gunson Street Miles Platting Manchester M40 7NT

Do not hang up until the fire service have repeated the address to you and you are sure they have got it right. The fire service cannot help if they do not have the address

THE ABOVE PROCEDURE SHOULD BE COMMUNICATED TO EACH RESIDENT.

17.0 Risk Analysis, Priority Ratings and Fire Risk Ratings

Each action required has been given a priority rating of between 1 and 3 based upon the following:

| Priority 1 (P1) | A serious breach of the Fire Safety Order which if not actioned would significantly increase the risk of fire or injury. Failure to reduce the risk could result in substantial injury to relevant persons. Actions or omissions of this nature would normally constitute an offence liable to enforcement or prosecution actions by the Fire Authority. The time scales given are normally short – from immediate up to one month |
|-------------------|--|
| Examples include: | Blocked or locked fire exits, serious breaches of required fire resistance, ineffective fire doors, insufficient or complete failure of emergency lighting or fire alarm systems. |
| Priority 2 (P2) | A lesser breach of the Fire Safety Order which if not resolved would present a risk of fire or injury. Failure to reduce the risk could result in a moderate injury to relevant persons. Compliance may still be required to satisfy enforcing authorities but longer time scales are given, such as two months or longer. |
| Examples include: | Firefighting equipment missing or defective, minor defects to the fire alarm or emergency lighting systems. |
| Priority 3 (P3) | Poor practices or features that whilst not presenting a serious risk would detract from the overall impact on the fire safety provisions within the premises. Also includes provision or practices and features that are preferable over and above the minimum standards required under the Fire Safety Order. Time scales are variable. The acts or omissions would normally be tolerable but actions should still be implemented to reduce the risk level to a negligible level. |
| Examples include: | Logbooks not completed or up to date, fire extinguishers not wall mounted. |

The fire risk assessment process involves an assessment of the likelihood of an event (generally outbreak of fire) combined with an assessment of the severity should the event be realised, the severity being classified as negligible, tolerable, moderate, substantial or intolerable. Each significant finding identified has been given an appropriate risk rating, which is then prioritised accordingly on the action plan.

Once all the significant findings have been identified the premises is given an overall risk rating based on the expert opinion, experience and training of the fire safety consultant conducting the assessment.

FIRE SAFETY CONSULTANTS

| Definitions: | | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| Hazard: | An article, substance, machine, installation or situation with potential to cause | | |
| | harm, loss or both. A fire hazard is a hazard that has the potential to cause a fire | | |
| | or promote fire development and/or spread. | | |
| Risk: | A measure of the probability that the potential for harm or loss posed by the | | |
| | hazard will materialise, combined with the potential extent and severity of the | | |
| | harm and/or damage that may result. | | |
| Harm: | Physical injury, death, ill health, property and equipment damage and any form of | | |
| | associated loss, which could cause harm. | | |
| | risk rating two main areas are considered, the likelihood of an outbreak of fire and that outbreak to cause harm to persons, property and business continuity. | | |
| | fire outbreak is given a rating of highly unlikely, unlikely and likely, this is then harm potential rating of slight, moderate and serious harm. | | |
| | isk is then quantified as negligible, tolerable, moderate, substantial or intolerable. k rating is calculated and the risk level determined within the following | | |
| Negligible Risk | Where the combination of severity of harm and likelihood is very low and there is | | |
| | minimal risk to people's lives. The risk of a fire occurring is rare and the potential | | |
| | for fire spread is negligible, also where the overall fire safety management is of a | | |
| | high standard. No further action is normally required unless circumstances | | |
| | change. A reassessment should take place on the review date. | | |
| Tolerable Risk | Where the present systems, facilities or management procedures are reasonably | | |
| | satisfactory at the time of the assessment. Escape should be carried out unaided | | |
| | with effective fire safety management procedures in place. Possible minor | | |
| actions may be required, with a reassessment being conducted at the re | | | |
| | stage. | | |
| Moderate Risk | The present systems, facilities or management is unsatisfactory in some areas. | | |
| | Where a fire could occur and the available time needed to evacuate may be | | |
| | reduced by the speed of the development of fire, also where the reaction time of | | |
| | occupants may be slower because of the type of persons present e.g. sleeping, | | |
| | elderly or infirm or where there are large numbers of persons or complex escape | | |
| | routes. Remedial actions will be required with some control measures being | | |
| | implemented. A reassessment should be made once the control measures have | | |
| Culture at 1 at 1 | been put in place. | | |
| Substantial Risk | Where the combination of severity and probability is high and urgent action must | | |
| | be taken to reduce the risk. Where a fire is likely or highly likely to occur and the | | |
| | spread of fire development would be such that the available escape time would | | |
| | be substantially reduced. Premises identified with substantial risk areas will | | |
| | normally require the provision of considerable resources in the form of | | |
| Intolerable Risk | equipment, training, information and management to mitigate the risks. | | |
| Intolerable Risk | Where the combination of severity and probability is such that extreme harm or death will occur and there is a real threat of an outbreak of fire. Action must be | | |
| | | | |
| | taken to immediately reduce the risk, ideally to a tolerable level. If this cannot be | | |
| | achieved, then consideration must be given to prohibiting or limiting the use of all or part of the premises until such risks can be reduced. Reassessment is required | | |
| | following implementation of the immediate or interim control measures. | | |
| | | | |



The Probability of Fire depends on the number and nature of ignition sources, the extent of and any fire prevention measures and the nature and actions of the occupants. The Probability and Extent of Harm should a fire occur depends on the quality of the means of escape, number of storeys, complexity of the premises and mobility of the occupants.

Based upon the significant findings identified above, application of current fire safety codes and practice, experience and knowledge the following risk areas have been quantified.

| LIKELY CONSEQUENCES OF FIRE | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|--|--|
| | Subjective Fire Risk Rating | Slight Harm | Moderate Harm | Serious Harm | | |
|) of fire Eak | Highly Unlikely | Negligible Risk | Tolerable Risk | Moderate Risk | | |
| LIKELIHOOD OF FIRE OUTBREAK | Unlikely | Tolerable Risk | Moderate Risk | Substantial Risk | | |
| | Likely | Moderate Risk | Substantial Risk | Intolerable Risk | | |

FIRE RISK RATING MATRIX



18.0 Summary of Significant Findings

| SF No | FRA Ref | Hazard or Defect | Action Required | Hazard Priority | Risk Rating | Action By | Review Date | Date Completed |
|----------|------------|--|--|-------------------------------|----------------|-----------|----------------|-------------------|
| 01 | 9.5 | P2 MODERATE REPEAT Metal conduit and trunking containing electrical cables traversing fire resisting construction between the flats and common areas and between the landing lobbies and stair lobbies could not be observed as suitably fire stopped. | Confirm where cable trunking in common areas breaches compartment floors and walls were suitably fire stopped with material of 30 minutes fire resistance. | P2 - previously identified | Moderate | 1254894 | | |
| 01 | 9.5 | P2 MODERATE REPEAT In the lift motor room, there were breaches of compartment behind lift motors controls entering the cavity. | Seal any breaches of compartmentation behind lift motor controls with material of 30 minutes fire resistance. | P2 - previously identified | Moderate | 1255140 | | |
| 01 | 9.8 | P2 MODERATE Self-closing refuse chute hatches are fitted with smoke seals however a number of hatches were found not to be fully closed. | A survey of the refuse chute hatches should be carried out and any not closing fully should be repaired/adjusted. | P2 | Moderate | 1255142 | | |

The hazard priority and risk rating may have been elevated due to previously identified significant findings that remain outstanding from a previous fire risk assessment or the work carried out does not meet the relevant standards. In addition, a new significant finding may have been raised in relation to fire safety management as the Fire and Rescue Service who enforces The Regulatory Reform (Fire Safety) Order 2005 may view the failure to address previously identified significant findings as justifying immediate enforcement procedures. Total Fire Services Ltd has highlighted the particular issue(s) to assist the responsible person in avoiding such enforcement procedures.



19.0 Recommendations

| No | FRA Ref | Observation | Recommended Action | Risk Rating | Date Completed |
|----|---------|---|---|--------------------|----------------|
| 01 | 9.8 | RECOMMENDATION MODERATE | Confirm, if not of the shunt duct type, the extract | Moderate | 1255145 |
| | | Previously identified and not confirmed | ductwork within the site supervisor's area is | | |
| | | during this review, the layout of the | sealed. | | |
| | | redundant ventilation ducts which | | | |
| | | terminate on the roof was not confirmed | | | |
| | | to be sealed within the site supervisor's | | | |
| | | area. | | | |
| 02 | 13.0 | RECOMMENDATION TOLERABLE | Additional photo luminescent signage indicating | Tolerable | 1255147 |
| | | Previously identified it was observed | flat numbers and emergency exits in high rise | | |
| | | that floor numbers were indicated at | premises are recommended to be placed at a low | | |
| | | staircase and lift lobby locations but | level to increase visibility in smoke conditions in | | |
| | | there were no low level signs indicating | lift lobby. | | |
| | | flat numbers or exit signs in lift lobby. | | | |

The recommendations above are issues which have been observed by the TFS Consultant and which in their opinion do not constitute a breach of the Regulatory Reform (Fire Safety) Order 2005 which deals with life safety in relation to all relevant persons. The recommendations are designed to assist the responsible person in identify areas where the required life safety systems are showing signs of deterioration, fair wear and tear etc. so that the business can budget for future replacements, repairs etc. In addition, there may be areas where the consultant believes the business is vulnerable from fire in terms of property protection or business continuity and therefore has included recommendations for the client to consider or investigate further.

IT IS FOR THE RESPONSIBLE PERSON TO DETERMINE WHETHER THE USE OF THE PREMISES, THE NATURE OF THE OCCUPANTS, THE PROPERTY PROTECTION, DAY TO DAY OPERATIONS AND THE FIRE SAFETY MANAGEMENT WOULD BE ENHANCED BY THE IMPLEMENTATION OF ANY RECOMMENDATIONS. THEY DO NOT CONSTITUTE A SIGNIFICANT FINDING.