



# Chorlton Consultation

## 1) Comments on Chorlton Leisure Centre




	No. of responses		No. of responses
Offer community facilities/centre	135	Good location for homes	13
Offer leisure facilities/centre	124	Don't sell to private sector	12
Affordable/social housing	86	Dead space/Needs using	11
Hough End not good replacement	48	Lack of local facilities e.g. school, doctor, etc	11
Not good location for homes	44	Provide parking	7
Offer cultural facilities/centre	40	Too many bars/restaurants	7
Encourage shops/business	26	Health focussed project	5
Parking/traffic problems	24	Sustainability/green project	5
Facilities for young people	23	Other	3
Architectural significance	19	Concerns over scale/affordability	2
Facilities for old people	17		

## 2) Comments on Chorlton Precinct



	No. of responses		No. of responses
Retaining/encouraging local and independent businesses/shops	175	Ensure precinct continues to thrive	17
Expand/revise design proposals	98	Long standing/older resident friendly proposals	17
Affordable housing/office/retail space	95	Better public transport links	13
Insufficient affordable/free parking	94	Questionnaire concerns	10
Pedestrianised/green/environmentally friendly area	57	Remain a diverse community	10
Traffic problem concerns	55	Proposals not supported	9
Current parking problems	55	Leisure facility required	9
Schools/GPs/Dentists coping concerns	46	Low energy cost developments	9
No more major chains	41	Larger supermarket/food store required	8
Don't need more bars/restaurants/cafes	32	Increase in pollution concern	7
Welcoming proposals	24	Don't need more bargain shops	6
Improved cycling provision	22	Over populated area	6
Residents parking permits required	20	Disabled and elderly accessible	5
Don't need more housing	20	Public toilet required	3

### 3) Comments on Ryebank



	No. of responses		No. of responses
Traffic problems/congestion	196	Use land for recreational facilities	21
Affordable housing	89	Energy efficient homes	21
Conservation of Green spaces	86	Architecture to match existing properties	19
Impact on local facilities e.g. school, doctor, etc.	83	More Houses required in area	17
Insufficient Parking	71	Improved cycling routes/pedestrian paths	16
Object to plans	61	Over development concerns	16
Conservation of wildlife	47	Area unsuitable for development	13
Social housing to be included in development	40	No objections to plans	12
Mixed development e.g. homes for the elderly	39	Questionnaire concerns	11
No response	36	Development plans misleading	11
Less privacy/safety concerns	36	Land regularly used e.g. dog walkers, walk	7
Yes through access	35	Mixed tenure development	5
Drainage issues	32	Improvements to public transport links	5
Increase in air/noise pollution	30	Other	4
No through access	29	Concerns with HGVs access to site	4
Densely populated area	25	Devaluing properties in area	2
		Lack of resident consultations	2